

Corrigendum

Name of work:- Selection of Consultant for Geometric and Structural Design for the work: “Construction of Peruman Bridge connecting Peruman and Mandrothuruthu across Ashtamudi Lake Sub Head. Extra Dosed Span-Redesign”.

For Administrative reasons, the last date of submission of the above work is extended by 8 days. Accordingly last date of submission of bid is extended up to 10/08/2022 and date of opening is extended up to 11/08/2022.


Project Director


KRFB - PMU
PROJECT DIRECTOR
Project Management Unit
Kerala Road Fund Board
Thiruvananthapuram

Sl.No	Page No.	Section	Clause	Queries	Replies
1.	3&4	1	1.2.1 & 1.2.5	As mentioned, GAD of the bridge, Drg. No. CEDO/BD/116/17(REV I) is enclosed. (Annexure-1), is missing. Kindly furnished GAD for better appreciation of the project	Attached
2.	10	4	4.2	Similar work as defined in note-1 means design or proof checking of extradosed structure only. This is applicable to item a) only. Kindly confirm our understanding	RFP conditions prevail
			4.2(i)	Requirement specified in (a) and (c) are similar. If requirement at (c) is satisfied then requirement at item (a) is not required separatcly.	Yes
3.	10	4	4.2(i)	Item 4.2(i) is used twice. Kindly rectify.	Corrected RFP attached
4.	17	5	5.16.3, Sl.No.1	Marks against item (i) (i.e. Similar works of consultancy31.03.2021) are not specified. Kindly specify.	RFP conditions. Prevail For clearing ambiguity, item(i)-10(marks)
5.	9	4	Time & Date of submission of RFP	Kindly extend the bid submission date after allowing 10 days from issue of replies to pre-bid queries.	Extended to August 10


PROJECT DIRECTOR
 Project Management Unit
 Kerala Road Fund Board
 Thiruvananthapuram

Selection of Consultant for Geometric and Structural Design for the work: "Construction of Peruman Bridge connecting and Mandrothuruthu Across Ashtamudi Lake

S No	Referred clause	Remarks	Replies
1	Clause no 1.2.1, Page no 3. The GAD for the Bridge, Drg .No. CEDO/BD/116/17(REV1)is enclosed.(Annexure 1.)	The annexure 1 is not included in the RFP document. Please provide the same.	Attached
2.	Adequacy of the proposed work plan and methodology in responding to the TOR(to be decided by Board of Assessors) (a) Concept Design of the bridge with distinguished Architectural feature (20) (c) Concept Design options and Cost Effectiveness of proposal (15)	Please confirm whether we need to submit concept design options and cost effectiveness (as given in item C) as the part of the proposal submission.	Should be presented before the board of assessors as mentioned.
3.	Clause no 5.16.3 Evaluation of Technical Bids Page no 17 Qualifications and competence of the key personnel for this assignment (i) Sr. Structural Engineer cum Team Leader (8) (ii) Highway/Transport Engineer (4) (iii) Architect (4) (iv) Geotechnical Engineer (2) (v) Estimator/Quantity Surveyor(1) (vi) Electrical Engineer (1)	The details given in Page no 17 shows the deployment of Electrical Engineer where as in page no 20 the table does not contain Electrical Engineer. Please confirm whether an assignment.	Needed. Corrected RFP attached
4.	Clause no 6.6 Time for completion & payment schedule	The total disbursement of fee comes to only 85%	Corrected RFP attached


PROJECT DIRECTOR
 Project Management Unit
 Kerala Road Fund Board
 Thiruvananthapuram